

VESELIN BOZHIKOV

translator
Zhenya Peltekova

DOMINANT MATRICES
/Global Militarism/

pMp

first edition

COEPA®

2022

© Veselin Bozhikov

© SFERA, 2022

ISBN 978-954-9803-67-9

The Almighty gives us freedom.
The rulers take it away from us by all possible means.

PREAMBLE

I was hoping not to ever start writing this book, however as the Global Militarism becomes more and more aggressive, it is important to clarify how the Global Monetarism is directly linked to the Global Militarism.

The monetary and the democratic (ruling) fraud are the global evil of the society, still in times of peace they do not exterminate people, but just exploit them. (Look the books “Monetary Matrices” and “Democratic Matrices”.)

War, however, despite from being also a fraud, leads to a huge democide and is the largest human disaster on Earth.

The main reason why I started writing on this tremendous topic, are a series of books dedicated to the psychology of war and the false theory they try to impose on the nature and the core of wars. These works neglect or deny the rest of the theories on wars (economic, ethnic, religious, civilization, etc.) and claim that in the heart of each war lays the natural phenomena (instincts) of people for self-destruction (self-annihilation).

These authors brutally ignore that wars are invented, planned, financed, prepared, organized, mobilized, ensured, propoganded, announced, lead, and so on by the combating parties (the Elites). They fool and convince the people that the persons themselves are possessed by some obscurant desire for self-annihilation, while the Elites are only satisfying this primary necessity. And this today is called science, and is even called “Psychology of war”.

Now you probably understand why I started writing this book.

INTRODUCTION

The battle is not a human creation, nor is the war. Internal combats within the species are common for many animals. Wars, however, are lead only by a few highly organized species.

For example, ants lead wars to concur more territories and resources.

Monkeys, besides concurring more territories and resources, lead wars to concur the females of the enemy (kill and chase away the male enemies).

Humans lead wars to concur completely the territory, the resources, the achievements, the riches, and the population of the enemy.

Naturally, there are more limited wars as well as prioritization, updating and optimizing of the goals during the war itself. In addition, one can also observe dynamics in the evolution of the scope and the intensity of the different military conflicts, both in terms of enlarging/limiting (scope) and in terms of escalation/appeasing (intensity).

There are wars between generas, tribes, ethnical groups, states, allies, regions, continents, as well as world wars. There are also different types of wars: focused (goals oriented), local (special) and so on. Overall, humanity has invented all sorts of wars arriving to the point of hybrid and informational wars.

It is impossible to analyze the nature of the war without first defining what war is. The military science today defines the war generally as an organized military conflict between groups (pacts), states, nations (peoples), public and social groups.

Below are listed some of definitions from the most popular authors.

C. Clausewitz: "The war is a military conflict between two independent political entities using organized military power in order to impose certain policy".

L. White: "The wars are a conflict between the social organisms, called nations, for survival, compete and usage of territorial and water resources, for ore fields etc."

R. Cohen says that war is "legitimized by the society offen-

sive and/or defensive violent blood-shedding action between political entities”.

John Keegan, in his work “History of war” concludes that the essence of war encompasses much more than the economic and political factors, and also it is usually an expression of the culture of the conflicting parties.

A simple definition of war is proposed by the followers of the structured and organized approach - “The war is a form of an organized violence between groups, which includes reaching the point of killing one another”.

According to a series of American military theoretics, the wars in the human society are similar to the conflicts among animals, because both aim to adapt the species, the group or the individual to the changing living conditions.

Similar arguments are limited and the focus is set either on the biological characteristics of the human (the innate “instinct for violence”, according to Freud - “aggression instinct”) or on a series of socio-cultural factors (interests, economics, politics, cultural specifics, ethnocentrism, nationalism and so on).

It is hard to list all sorts of wars used in the daily language. Most common are:

- Generas, tribal, ethnic, state, allies;
- Local, regional, continental, world;
- Casts, classes, revolutionary, partisan, civil;
- Company, corporate, commercial, economical;
- Civilizational, religious;
- Psychological, media, informational;
- Cold, hot, proxi, hybrid;
- Centric, asymmetric, network;
- Scope, zonal and so on.

Many of the listed wars are not a military conflict (in the traditional sense), yet as societies evolve even information is perceived as a new type of weapon which can be used to impose someone’s will on another person, in other words impose his/her power. It is important to note that the classification of wars and military conflicts based on scope and participants (based on type and numbers) is highly relative.

The matrix war is not yet accepted as terminology, yet we

believe that it is the Mental Matrices that indeed are at the origin of all types of wars. In their essence all wars represent a conflict between some sort of Mental Matrices.

In brief, the idea of each war was born in someone's head. In some occasions, these Mental Matrices take the central stage, but in many others - they are the greatest secret of the war, while in front of the society completely different ideas and arguments are demonstrated.

The matrices of war could be uniform (very rarely) and complex.

Uniform are the wars behind which lay a conflict of similar Mental Matrices, for example - religious, ethnic, economic, commercial, and so on.

Complex wars are all world, continental, allies, intergovernmental, and so on wars, where the conflict is between a complex set of Mental Matrices and mental models.

All wars, from the dawn of history, are lead under the conditions of a socio-cultural scheme from the Power-Subjects (Dominant-Dominated) type. Without a hierarchy, organization, power and subjects wars cannot exist!

Most researchers define war as a social phenomenon, continuation of the political struggles of the people though organized military violence. The war is an organized act of violence, aiming to subject our enemy to our will, in other words to accept our power. The essence of war is an organized, complex fight for power, control or supremacy, using all powers and means between alliances, states, peoples, classes, social groups, and other sorts of formations.

In this sense, the statement that the war is a continuation of politics with other (military) means, is correct. The argument that politics is an extension of the business is also correct, yet politics and war are not only business.

There are many nations different by culture, language, faith, ideals, vision, and interest on the Earth. Each nation is trying to settle its way of living according to the specific ideals, vision, and interests. With this development, however, the ideals and interests of the different alliances, states, nations, classes, social groups, and formations get in conflict, contradict and clash.

The political dynamics of the different interests causes tensions, conflict, and arguments, and puts the parties in the position of rivalry, disconcert and animosity, which often leads to blood-shedding confrontations for power, control, territories, resources, and so on. It does not matter whether the matrices of a war are material, ideological, or complex, they are always a product of the ruler, and their target is supremacy and power.

Every aggression - Global, Neocolonial, Colonial, and so on (armed and/or unarmed) causes the defensive reaction of the attacked side. After all other defensive options have been exhausted (armed and not), it is culture that remains the final defense line in front of the complete takeover, assimilation, and extermination.

The contemporary liberal mantra - the creation of a stable system of global peace based on world (global) liberal (free) market, is a utopia. This means we shall leave the peace (order) in the hands of the limitless greed and world specula.

There is no doubt that in the heart of the contemporary liberal (free) market is found the limitless greed. A successful businessman (trader) aims to buy as cheap as possible and to sell as expensive as possible, in other words to cheat (as much as possible) both the producer (seller) and the consumer (buyer).

Producers aim to reach minimal prices and maximum turnovers, compromising the quality of the products. The same create and promote more and more new artificial needs and offer the corresponding product to satisfy them.

The services indeed go beyond any imagination. They massively advertise and promote all sorts of virtual fears and unreal needs, in order to solve them later on.

The competition, the antagonism, the inequality and the injustice have laid the foundations of capitalism, market economy and the free market. The increasing number of military conflicts and wars (under the conditions of capitalism) for riches, achievements, resources, colonies, territories, markets, circles of influence, subjected masses and so on, during the last and the current century, are the logical result from the evolution of the commercial societies.

The huge monetary sharks, if they succeed in taking over you in monetary and economic terms, are highly reluctant to initiate against you political or military pressure and an armed conflict.

Nowadays, the global war against terrorism is the most convenient and universal form of aggression on behalf of the Global monetarists. This war could be of any type, could be anywhere, could be anytime, could be against anyone, could use any means, could be with every enemy and to disguise any greed, without the war being declared.

This war is endless, as a chronic disease, and its goal of its evil creators is the massive imposing of the monetarists' interests and the Monetary Globalization of the World (Globalism).

When, behind a certain terrorist attack cannot to be found real armed groups, radical formations or peoples fighting for their cause or for their freedom, are hidden the darkest materialistic powers.

The Universalism (the End of History) and the Globalism (The clash of Civilizations) are the most popular contemporary theories, which serve the imperialistic interests of the Global monetarists. These, as well as the older ethnic, religious, economic, and other theories, aim to give a scientific explanation and a moral justification to the aggressive invaders, as well as to cover their real (power) imperialistic interests. When society cannot be manipulated, controlled and zombied out through the ethnic, religious and other cards, new ones are created - for example ideological (Universalism) and civilizational (Globalism).

That is why today the Universalism has turned the so-called democratization and the free market into a cult, while the Globalism is justifying the civilized states invasion of the world.

Historians are calculating that in the history of the human-kind in the last 5500 years there were over 14500 wars. Probably there have been other wars which have remained unknown to the contemporary historical science. It is a fact that from the times of Jesus Christ (the modern age) until today, there has not been even one year without a war on this earth!

As long as there are powerful people, different social groups,

formations, peoples, countries, and alliances with opposing interests, there will always be military structures and armed forces.

THE DOMINANT MATRICES

The Universalism and the Globalism are indeed the new Dominant Matrices (DM) of the superior power elite.

The DM are Mental Matrices claiming supremacy, which are imposed by the Dominating over the Dominated (by the aggressors over those attacked), propagating them as superior to all others (the previous dominant) Mental Matrices. (Look* the book “Dogmatic Matrices”.)

The Dominant Matrices require mass acceptance and obedience. If you don't bow your head voluntary in front of their supremacy, what follows is political and economic pressure, Maidans, riots, revolutions, and so on, ending up to military conflicts and wars.

In light of the DM idea, the peace is just an established power regime (order) of the Elites, based on and maintained by the imposed Dominant Matrices.

Here it is important to note, that often there are different Dominant Matrices for the peoples (the subjects) and the DM for the rulers (the power). The export of democracy (democratization) is a clear example of this dualism. The “elites” are involved in “Democratic wars”. There are said to bring you democracy (DM for the subjected nations), while, in reality, they impose on you monetary and economic slavery and export your riches and resources (DM of the ruling Elites).

Purely logically we can bring all wars down to imposing with violence of certain Dominant Matrices, to liberating from already imposed such matrices or to an armed conflict between different Mental Matrices fighting for dominance.

No single war theory can explain all wars without the concept of Dominant Matrices.

Today wars are led not only by alliances (pacts) states, nations, peoples, and ethnicities, but also by religious groups, radical organizations, separatists, terrorists, anarchists, criminal

organizations, corporations, and so on. The latter mentioned as well as the first ones, also want to impose their Dominant Matrices (interests) through violence.

War is far from what it used to be, but it has always been and will always be initiated because of ideas born in someone's mind! Whether you are to conquer new territories and countries or would impose religions and ideologies, at the end in the core of the war are always to be found some Dominant Mental Matrices.

There is no doubt that all Dominant Matrices are originated by the mind and aim to change the reality. The changes in society are on the field of politics but it is often impotent to solve many of the problems and this is how tensions, contradictions, confrontations and conflicts escalate.

However, war remains the vilest human solution in order to bring about changes. It is a disaster and thus a demonstration of the complete limitation of the human mind. Even when the aggressor is imposing superior Mental Matrices, it is not automatic that they would lead to brighter future (especially if the subjects have not reached the relative degree of consciousness and development of the mind). If someone wants to boost the development of someone else what is to be send is enlighteners, not conquerors!

No Mental Matrices (independent from how evolved they could be) could justify the insanity and the terrors of the wars!

The Almighty has given freedom to the people, but the rulers take it away by all possible means. However, through the wars the rulers literally enslave the peoples, bury the individuals, steal, and destroy their achievements, and limit their future development.

THE DOMINATION

Supremacy during the war is usually achieved by power, yet stability after a victory is established only by imposing suitable Dominant Mental Matrices. In fact, if the Dominant Matrices of the aggressor are accepted (imposed) in conditions of peace, it is often useless to go to war.

The Military-Monetary Domination of the West creates political instability in many countries around the world and in various international relations. The prosperity and the growth of the West is paid by the countries from the rest of the globe, whose development is seriously limited, impacted and controlled.

The so-called free trade among free (Dominant) and non-free (Dominated) countries leads to crash in the local economies, tensions, confrontations, and conflicts, as well as to super profits for the dominant countries. Being liberal and democratic, the development of the dominated countries is being controlled and subjected to the interests of the dominant countries.

The social scheme Rulers-Subjects on the international scale is demonstrated as Dominant-Dominated. This unfair system of international relations controls the development of most countries, causes various tensions, leads to confrontations and is the reason for incessant conflicts and wars.

The real goal of each war is the power. This is completely normal in a system from type Rulers-subjects (Dominant-Dominated). However, the power is usually conservative and limits the development of the subjects, in order to rule sustainably for a long period of time.

Yet, no matter how powerful the dominant powers are, there always comes a time when they can no longer suppress and control the development of the dominated.

ORDER AND CHAOS

The military structures are highly organized with a strict order, yet wars always cause social chaos.

The new social order is imposed by the winner. He imposes his Dominant Mental Matrices (evident and secret) on the dominated. The peace treaties are just the dominant seal on the losing party!

In some rare cases, when new states are formed or already existing countries gain their independence, the war is considered to be a liberating one. In these cases, the losing party (usually an empire) loses its slaves, the subjected masses, resources, riches and territories, and in some occasions they can

become enslaved.

The liberated nations usually fall under the control of the new rule and under the custody of the winner.

In light of this, the peace (the order) is just legitimizing (establishing) the power of the winners over the subjects.

INSTITUTIONS AND ELITES

The war could destroy but also create alliances and countries. It could destroy but also create institutions and order. The clashing Elites (rulers) often deinstitutionalize and institutionalize the subjected societies.

This happens because the institutions are the pillars of the Power and maintain the established social order in the Power-Subject (Dominant-Dominated) system. What is destroyed as the old order with its alliances, countries and institutions, and is being imposed as the new order with its alliances, countries and institutions.

Naturally, in reality nothing comes out of nowhere. All available conquered resources, riches, systems, and infrastructures are simply transformed, reformed, and restructured in accordance with the Dominant Matrices imposed by the Winner.

On the other hand, however, in the history of humanity, violence has often been used as an instrument by many groups, formations, communities, and nations against some unfair power system, for freedom from slavery, for the discontinuation of unbearable conditions of living, for changing the political or the economic system, and so on.

Such type of fair violence has almost always been a significant factor for the positive evolution of the society.

The greater part of the now existing countries were established thanks to a national liberation wars, revolutions, riots, partisan movements, coup d'états and so on. This is how many nations have overthrown oppressive dictatorships, exploitative colonial powers and have reached their freedom and independence to build their own states.

STATES AND ALLIANCES

All peoples strive to create, reinforce, and stabilize their own state. Later comes the impetus to conquer new territories, where their co-nationals or related to them ethnic groups are found. From this moment on the ongoing imperial expansion have for main goal - conquering new territories, resources, riches, achievements and subjected masses.

When one country takes over a few of the adjacent territories, it becomes an empire. Of course, there are exceptions to this, when the process of addition is not related to a war. In these cases, what is formed is usually a federation or confederation, which usually hides the imperialistic nature.

Each empire aims to preserve and enlarge itself as time passes. That is why the nature of the rule in empires is the principle of “divide and rule”, both internally and outside of the empire.

When the internal wars are over, also the establishment of the commercial rules, the development of a unique measuring system, the creation of a common monetary system, of a general system for state expenditure, of the system for administrative control, and other similar activities related to the reinforcement of each empire, what follow is its golden ages. This is a fruitful period of stable imperialistic expansion and stabilization.

After the adjacent territory is conquered, the empires strive to conquer the continent. In these cases, they usually have to face other empires, which have similar goals. This type of development is natural for the continental empires.

The isle empires, after the conquest of the adjacent islands, rush towards the addition of isles which are further located, and of the shore territories of other countries. Their future expansion leads to the colonization of entire countries, and after that reaches other continents as well. This, sooner or later, leads to clashes with other empires.

The colonial expansion type could be adopted also by the continental empires.

At the end, after the conquest of the territories from another continent, the goals and the politics of the empire become glo-

bal.

The strive for global domination of the empires has never disappeared. Back from ancient times many empires have tried to conquer the world.

The endangered countries have two main approaches towards the rise of the new empire, or can use a combination of the two. On their own, or together with other states, they can try to ensure their own security by actions balancing the raising power in order to limit and control it, and in case of need to fight in order to weaken and shake it.

The other option is for the countries to try to peacefully join the raising great power. Adapting to it, they assume a secondary (subordinate) role in the relationship with the empire, striving to preserve the most important self achievements and interests, even as subordinate and as a vassal.

Some researchers believe that the system of the empire evolves in order to guarantee its global rule.

After the two World Wars, the aggressive Elites have realized that the global rule cannot be achieved even through the colonial type of empire. The neocolonialism enters on stage, which loosens the colonial grip, but imposes its monetarism on the subjected states.

The specifics for the neocolonial empires were that they continue to preserve and enlarge their militarism so that they could impose their monetarism on a greater scale. That is how they consume the resources and the human potential of the neocolonial countries, meanwhile turning them into their markets and debtors (the times of the Cold War).

This type of development actually did not bring any empire to total global supremacy and domination.

After the fall of the Eastern block the Globalism came in. It imposes unnatural antiethnic, antinational, antitribal, antifamily, and antimoral, and other antinormal "values", so that result the maximum local discretization and disintegration.

The purpose is that the subjected societies are not only "divided and ruled" but also are manipulated and zombied out with the new values (the new Dominant Matrices), so that they are easily discretized locally and globally integrated and controlled.

On the West nowadays, self sacrifice is like madness, the individualism is cult, everything that is spiritual and humble is to be hated and derided, while consumerism and materialism are always more and more valued.

The ruling elites, besides in secret (through the so called Deep Global State) now are openly and brutally imposing their interests on the counties, directly via the transnational corporations, the huge banks and the international organizations.

Through the Global Militarism is imposed and stabilized the Global Monetarism. Who does not agree to obey and to adhere peacefully to the monetarist and military alliances, is isolated, sanctioned, politically and forcefully intervened (attacked).

The so called Global integration (Globalization) is achieved on the basis of multilateral local disintegration.

POWER AND WAR

The main purpose of the war is power (i.e. the aggressor aims to impose his will on the attacked), independently from the Dominant Matrices which support it. The winner, even if does not succeed in introducing and establishing the official Dominant Matrices, always strives to impose and reinforce its power over the ones who lost.

During each war are present enemies rulers (Elites). Precisely they lead the war and bring the clashes between their peoples (the masses). After the end of the war, the winners are setting the new order (peace).

In light of this, the wars aim focused power, zonal power, local power, regional power, continental and world power.

Sun Tzu speaks about power and rulers, when he is commenting the problems around making decisions related to wars.

Clausewitz states the thesis that war is an extension of politics but with other (military) means. Yet, only few researchers discuss and analyze, why he is speaking about politics, rather than about the rulers of his time.

Clausewitz speaks this way, because (even back in his date) politics was no longer entirely formed by the official rulers. Back then there were already power factors in the shade, which influ-

enced and formed the politics of entire countries.

Practically, nowadays, almost everywhere the official (formal) power has become merely power actors of hidden real power (the Deep State).

The historical, political and logical analyses show that the power and politics are impossible without violence. Where there is power, politics and socio-political structures, there are various organs of violence and presence of response confrontation against this violence again with violence. The direct correlation between the power and the violence is observed both internally and externally plan.

REAL AND FORMAL POWERS

In no other place from the social life it is more visible that events are influenced by real and formal powers compared to the peace treaties signed after a war.

The new order is imposed by the victorious formal power in interest of the winning real power (Elite). If there are benefits for the winning nations, these are glorious but secondary clauses.

Researchers accept that from the times of the French revolution it has become more common that the conflicts occur among nations rather than among rulers. According to R. Palmer "The wars of the kings end in 1793." in fact, it is from this moment on that begin the wars between the Elites, while the peoples are just smoked meat in their tremendous plans and horrible actions.

Since it is the formal powers that carry the responsibility of the war, the Elites (the hidden powers) feel untouchable and unpunished. That is why they often arrive at unthinkable evilness. History shows us that Elites outrun the rulers in the bloodshedding and horrors.

WHO WINS?

Wars bring fortunes mainly to the real winning powers (Elites). They are the actual winners. The formal powers win less, and the winning peoples win the least, if they win at all.

Yet the bankers, military corporations and the commerce who have invested and maintained (often at the same time) the conflicting parties, are the ones to always win. Often, the listed here are also a part of the Elites.

To be objective, the war is a continuation of politics with other means. Politics, on the other hand, is the extension of the business. In fact, the peace pacts are juridical maintaining the bigger part of the profits and the losses for the conflicting parts.

Have in fact, today the war can be considered the most risky and yet the most profitable business.

Here it is important to remind the words of Thomas Danning, who has given the following definition of capital: "The capital avoids noise and rudeness and has a specific cautious nature. This is true, yet it is not the whole truth. The capital is afraid of the absence of profits and too little profits, the same way nature fears the vacuum. However, when there are enough profits, capital becomes brave. If you ensure 10% profits capital is ready to be used in any way, at 20% profits it becomes active, at 50% it is ready to bang its head, at 100% - breaks all human laws, and at 300% - there is no crime which cannot be committed ..." (Look* the book "Monetary Matrices.")

It is clear that during a war the possible profit for the victorious Elites is well above 300%.

However, all the losses remain always for the peoples. It is the people that bare the nightmare of the war, they lose their families, homes, work, social benefits, standard of living and so on. It is the people that pay the loans and the reparations, usually for years to come.

WHO IS FINANCING?

Our attempt to identify a complete research on financing World wars, failed. The few partial researches have been declared by the elite like propaganda and Conspiracy theories.

Without a doubt, the financial and material support to the wars is one of the biggest secrets in the world (conspiracy facts). If the monetary and stock flows are to be traced before, during and after the war, many of the secrets of the real and

formal powers would come to light.

The fact that the same bank structures have supported temporarily both sides of the conflict, is nothing new. The fact that the same corporations have maintained the conflicting sides is “just business”. The fact that the same traders sell to both sides, does not create doubts.

However, the fact that the conflicting parties have traded among themselves during the conflict, is something incomprehensible for the ordinary people.

A research over the latest wars lead by the USA after the terrorist attacks on 09.11 2001, give enormous numbers. The authors of the research - a team of 20 economists, lawyers, and political scientists from the Brown University in Providence, tried for the first time to provide an objective answer to the question how much cost the wars of the USA in Iraq, Afghanistan and Pakistan. It turns out that adding also the interests paid the amount is around 3.2 and 4 trillion dollars. This is even without the compensations to veterans and rehabilitation of the injured.

“The costs for a war do not end with the end of the fights.” said the head of the project Catherin Luts.

THE SECRETS

Sun Tzu write that intelligence is critical for the war and the military activities, while the art of war is based on secrets and lies. That is why the main purpose of spies are the secrets of the enemy and their main job are the secret missions which undermine and weaken the enemy.

On the other hand, the counterintelligence aims to eliminate and neutralize the secret missions and the other activities of the intelligence of the enemy.

Among the power circles in Washington there is a saying - “If something is not secret, it is either not important or not true.”.

Secrets, secrets and again secrets from the Elites to the single military units, while the rulers propagates there are no secrets and no conspiracy.

Many books have been written in relation to the complots of the Elites, and many of them touch the topic of the secrets of

wars. However, the propagate machine of the powers keeps yelling that no real conspiracy exist and all writings on the topic are merely someone's fantasies.

In the meantime, all regimes are sustain exactly thanks to various secret organizations and other possible secrets. Secret services, secret systems, secret units, secret departments, secret councils, secret missionaries, secret missions, and so on even secret archives.

If at some time all secret archives are disclosed, it will become clear how many conspiracy facts stay behind the wars. However, the most conspiracy in relation to the wars is not contained even in the secret archives. Often it stays forever only in the head of someone.

Wars have never existed without conspiracies and will never exist!

OCCASIONS, REASONS AND GOALS

It is important to differentiate the occasions, the reasons and the goals of the wars.

The occasions are always evident (does not matter if they are screen played or not), however the reasons and the goals can be evident and hidden. Somewhat flashing are the reasons and the goals of the formal power, because the war is propagated. Almost always the reasons and the goals of the effective (hidden) power are secret. It is them exactly that are at the origin of the war and are decisive about the course of the war.

Determine on your own the occasions, the reasons and the goals of the invasion of the USA in Iraq.

- Stopping a nuclear program and identification of Weapons for massive destruction.
- Imposing of free market and setting up democratic rule (the so-called democratization).
- Invasion and aggression for resources, oil, riches, and regional control (power).

"Lancel" magazine has published an interesting report by a group of scientists lead by L.Roberts. They made research on the violence in Iraq after the American and British invasion in

2003. This report is formulated on the basis on data collected for a year and a half after the aggression.

According to these data, before the invasion the main cause of death for the people in the country were heart strokes and chronic diseases, while when the war started people died mainly due to violent activity of the armed forces lead the by the American coalition. Infant death has increased from 29 of a 1000 before the war to 57 of a 1000 after it. The majority of the people killed by the occupational forces were women and children. The death risk during the democratic American occupation has increased with 58 times compared to the same during the regime of Saddam Hussein.

Some very low approximate numbers of dead civilians for around one year and a half after the occupation shows over 100 000 people. This is a huge number which is 33 times higher than the victims of the September 11 attacks in New York, which gave reasons to the USA to start the "War on terrorism".

The occupational troops of the American coalition entered Iraq to end the regime of Saddam Hussein and to make people's lives more secure and calmer, however the results was exactly the opposite - life there became 58 times more unsafe and uncalm.

NEED AND INTERESTS

Many researches define the interests as a series of specific needs of the individuals or of the social forms.

It is our point of view, that the needs are primarily related to human existence (survival), while interests are related to the human realization (development).

Most of the people have similar needs, still their interests are quite different. This happens because all people are on different stages of mental development, which dictates their different interests.

Conquering is an interest, surviving is a need!

In light of this, wars are a collision between the interests of the aggressors and the needs of the peoples attacked.

Usually, the aggressors do not satisfy their needs, nor do

they satisfy the interests of those who are being attacked. Exceptions can be found under conditions of some disasters or ecological catastrophe, still in these rare cases wars are not being led.

On the other hand, the interests of the Elites and the peoples are also different. Within the countries attacked some sort of synchrony exists between the needs and the interests of the people and those of the Elites. For the aggressors, however, the interests and the needs of the people and the Elites are in sharp contrast.

INSTINCT AND INTELLECT

Humans possess two completely different types of aggression. The first one is instinctive, as in the animal life. This is a psychogenetic code, which controls the individual to attack and run, when his life is in danger. This positive aggression serves the natural selection, it is biologically adaptive and stops when the danger no longer exists. This type of aggression ensures the survival of the individuals.

The other type is the negative aggression which combines cruelty, terror and destruction, typical for the human beings. This type of aggression is born by the intellect, it is not based on a psychogenetic code and is not biologically adaptive. It does not aim to satisfy the living needs of the individuals. This type of aggression satisfies the cruelest humans interests.

During wars both types of aggression are observed.

The aggressor applies the negative type of aggression during the war as he is satisfying his interests.

Those attacked apply the positive type of aggression that ensures their survival.

However, on the battle field, after the clash of the military formations, all soldiers are in the conditions of survival. For commanders (upward on the hierarchy) the nature of the aggression depends on how the battles go and the course of the war.

Explaining wars with some hypothetical "instinct for self destruction" is a nonscientific, illogical and untrue statement.

The human formations are so fundamentally different in the degree of bloodshed and destructivity from the animal one, that the destruction facts (bloodshed and damages), cannot be explained in any way with the innate (natural) destructivity and the primary (animal) violence.

In the human world, the different degree of destructivity and cruelty have a very complex ideological basis and are linked with precise power structures and systems. Besides, the degree of destructivity and cruelty increase with the evolution of the humanity, and not on the contrary!

That is why the war amongst humans can be described only with the words evil, cruelty and inhumanity!

PLANNING, FORECASTING AND MANAGEMENT

The principle of the management is based on matrices, models and forecasts (matricizing, modeling, and forecasting). Decisions are usually based on actual information and forecasts. Each forecast is based on some sort of Mental Matrices and mental models.

The planning of a war is based on a series of forecasts, which in their essence represent complex Mental Matrices and mental models. Even though in this field the matrices and the models are not as the ones in the exact scientific field, they also represent a good foundation for successful forecasting.

On the battlefield, however, various unrealistic Mental Matrices and mental models have been torn into pieces by the reality.

It is absolutely necessary for each commander to realize that forecasts and plans are virtual (Mental Matrices and mental models) while actions and decisions are real and cause actual effects when interacting with the reality.

This is how we see it, and our enemy sees it in another way. This is as if the war is first held on a mental level, and later passes on the battlefield.

There is an Eastern saying which says - in order to take a peak in someone's head it is necessary to know him better than he knows himself.

MOTIVATION AND MANIPULATION

As it happens in murders, one must be motivated in order to be able to fight, to shed blood and to kill others. The motives could be material ones (riches) and moral ones (ideals). For the mercenaries there are money, booty and fame. For regular soldiers there is only duty, ideals, and praise.

Since always the rulers know that people are easily subjected to motivation, manipulation and even being zombied, they carefully orders the colode of cards with motivations, manipulations and zombing symbols, and starts playing for hatred it needs, and the necessary interest.

In each war the Elites takes into consideration all human weaknesses and takes advantage of them so that it manipulates, controls, and manages the people.

For those interested in the material things the motivation is with material rewards, for others lead by ideals the motivation is by ideals and for the mass of people what remains is the manipulation and forceful imposing. Who refuses to fight gets labeled, persecuted, repressed and so on to be a traitor and enemy of the people.

Pacifists, however, are the sincerest protectors of the people as they are aware of the horrors and the consequences of the war. Unfortunately, repressed by the powerful and silenced by the propaganda of the rules, they are rarely successful.

THE ART OF WAR

In his work "The Art of War" Sun Tzu explores the links and the interrelations among the war, the power, the politics, the interests, the strategy, the tactics and other factors important for the victory.

According to Sun Tzu, commanders need to ruin the plans of the enemy, to destroy his alliances, to undermine the stable structures, to divide him, to disorganize and disorient him, to exhaust him and other preliminary actions in preparation for future military actions.

However, the best military leaders win over the enemy without fighting at all and to dominate the opposing party without military conflict. Sun Tzu also says that the greatest art of the war is ability to mislead the enemy and in mastering the fraud.

Make the Fast seem Slow, the Big - Small, the Static - Dynamic, the Near - Far, the Hidden - Evident, the Strong - Weak, the Order - Chaos and so on, and vice versa.

Know yourself well and know the most as you can about your enemy. Use the human weaknesses of the military leads, the soldiers, the peoples, and the elites. During war, espionage and diversion are often of primary importance for the success and victory.

The greatest victory is to manage to conquer and subject the enemy without using military force. Win the battle before it actually starts - this is what Sun Tzu teaches us.

The Western Elite has mastered very well the tricks of this Eastern art and counts ever more on the nonmilitary conquering of new territories, countries, and zones of interest.

The example with the nonmilitary subjecting of Eastern Europe is worth being included in the strategy books.

THE THEORIES OF WAR

The theories of war research the occasions, the reasons, the goals, the consequences and the rest of the characteristics of the wars, during the historical development of the human kind.

We know different ethnic, religious, economic, political, ideological, civilization and other theories.

An important difference among the theories (apart from the occasions and the reasons) is the reasoning and the explanation of the different forms of violence and aggression.

The main theories of war focus on:

- Biological factors (the nature of the human being);
- Psychological factors (frustration and overcoming restrictions through violence);
- Cultural – historical / sociocultural factors (concerning the complex lifestyle).

However, no theory focuses on the power factors of the ag-

gression and the violence, the cruelty of the Elites, the social system of Power-Subjected (Dominant-Dominated) type, the connection Power-War (Power-Violence), the cycle War-Peace-War and the peace as a stable domination and a form established interstate power.

It is our belief that the main factor for the breakout of wars is a systemic (sociocultural) one and its roots are exactly in the power. In the social system from the Power-Subjected (Dominant-Dominated) type, the power struggles always lead to tensions, contradictions, confrontations and conflicts, both internally and externally.

THE CYCLE WAR-PEACE-WAR

After the end of the War (if the subjected country is not entirely dominated) the winner imposes a peace contract. Undoubtedly he dictates the conditions in it and imposes his own will on the new international order.

History shows us, that almost in all cases, the winner restricts the future development of the dominated people(s).

The development of the mind and the society is a process, which is fundamentally based on the reality, which cannot be stopped by any power. Hindering, restricting, limiting, and suppressing of the natural development causes social distress, confrontations and conflicts. With time the tensions grow and lead to hatred and anger towards the conquerors (foreign or local).

Whatever the applied Dominant Matrices, there comes a time when these break down in pieces by the development of the reality.

The analysis of both World Wars show us that the real reasons are rooted exactly in the peace contracts and their unjust and repressive international order. The main responsibility goes to the winning Elites with their arrogance and greed. Their desire was to promptly monetarize their victory thus laying the basis for the future war.

This situation is noted due to a common psychological model applicable for the majority of the rulers - their convictions that

they are all mighty and that they would rule forever. Still, nothing in nature lasts forever and nothing is unlimited, instead everything is limited and cyclic...

THE AGGRESSION

According to the declaration of the general assembly of The United Nations dating from the year 14.12.1974 (№ 3314) "Aggression is the usage of a nation's armed forces against the sovereignty, the territorial integrity, or the independence of another country." In the pictured situation the aggressing country is the one which first makes use of armed forces. The mentioned declaration also includes other acts of violence which are considered as a form of aggression.

Nowadays the term "war" is purposefully avoided in western countries. Out there we only stumble upon information regarding military actions, a variation of different military operations, campaigns, escalated, building up tension and etc.

Part of the mundane is to find terms such as "collateral victims" and "unintended victims" which the media use to depict civilian victims but also to present the reality of the "landed strucks" during bombardments and rocket attacks to then get to the "harsh full manners" based on the destruction and devastation of human lives, machinery, proprieties, infrastructure and further variables of aggression.

In the media the word "war" is paraphrased with others such as "military conflict", "military actions" and "military operations", due to the fact that military conflicts, military actions and military operations can be indulged without any kind of formal inauguration of war and the consequences of what a hypothetical war state may cause to the country itself such as a change of the civilians' life-style. This is euphemisms of mass usage.

Every act of aggression or of mass blood spread in history's time frame has always been justified with the idea that it had been a sacrifice to prevent a further proclivity of violence. All of those ideas being justified by the force of sublime speeches regarding freedom, equality, fraternity, independence, justice, human rights, democracy and a variety of promises that pre-

sented to be the salvation that will lead to the prosperity of a peaceful world.

THE VIOLENCE

According to a report by The United Nations there are six crucial groups of threats which should be addressed nowadays:

- Wars between countries;
- Internal conflicts such as civil wars, significant discrepancies regarding human rights, democide and genocide;
- Poverty, infectious diseases and the destruction of the environment;
- Nuclear, radiological, chemical or biological arms and accidents;
- Terrorism;
- Organized international criminality.

It is logical that all of above are directly connected with violence in its various shapes whether they are cultural, economic, political or military.

According to some researchers, violence in its pure essence is a path to obtain the desired state of power with the needed methods such as the use of armed forces or power against a sole individual or groups, public formations or even communities. All of the actual forces of power are put into work to maintain or to conquest the desired state and social position, which is mainly based upon privileges, sensation of superiority, unfairness, the ability to handle and make changes in the social and economic state.

Doubtless those acts of violence are planned, financed, provoked, initiated, organized and finalized by the Elites who are the cause of the inequality in society.

The supporters of the distinguished theories of the phenomena (instinct) of self-annihilation not only try to justify the actions of the Elites, but also charge the civilians with all of the fault as if the endured violence was a consequence of some abstract their own behavior. Usually, supporters of such theories are those who consider aggressors to be humanists (civilized) when in reality those such humanists are pure violators (barbarians).

On the other hand, if we concentrate on the essence of violence and where it could be found we would soon realize that it is part of the entire spectrum of all possible variables of social forms like military, political, economic, social, ethnical, religious, informational and so on. In situations in which the so depicted barbarians are totally aggressed by the civilized they make usage of their inhuman and terrorist ways which appear to be the only path to freedom.

It is not part of the human's behavior to kill but this is the normal reaction in front of the threat over the persona life and the one of the loved ones. What is actually there left for a nation brought to the limits of survival, endangered by extinction?

The greed and obscurantism of the Elites regarding the economical genocide that they provoke is what soon will put approximately 90 percent of the population in the position to strive to survive.

In our society every or almost every act of violence leads to a opposite act of the same sphere!

Researchers found that society's evolution is strictly correlated to the extent of mass violence and annihilation. This aggression of civilized Elites are label it as normal, necessary, helpful, exonerated or others in the opposite scenario are denoted as unneeded, impermissible, hurtful, nonhuman, non-moral, terrorist, barbaric etc.

There is a discussion over legitimate and illegitimate acts of violence. The illegitimate use of violence is depicted as the "illegal use of violence to reach somebody's goals", on the other hand the legitimate use of violence is characterized by the lawfull activation of the armed forces, sanctions, following the law, peacekeeping, peacebuilding etc.

Simply explained, the dominator excuses own violence and states them as legitimate, whereas the actions of the others are illegitimate.

Politics is a struggle for power and the supreme authority is violence itself (According to Charles Mills). The rule of power is "the stick and the carrot" and this is not exclusively applied to the internal political relation but also to the international ones. The desired goal is to have control over ethnic groups, nations,

countries and when there seems to be no other way to obtain power even with the sources that manipulation could provide there coming another path which is violence.

DEMOCIDE AND GENOCIDE

The massive acts of internal democide which cause the death of compatriots is that the authorities do under the conditions of war and in time of peace.

The annihilation of foreign nations (external genocide) is most commonly practiced during war conditions or after. A peculiar form of democide is genocide. It is genocide when the act of violence is strictly concentrated toward a certain nationality or ethnos. In those cases, in which this wheel of violence is activated there forms a machinery (a system) which produces uncountable victims.

Democide concentrates on the direct attack and destruction of a certain nation and genocide is characterized by annihilate a certain ethnos or nationality.

During peaceful times where the Elites have the power to control everyone and everybody, they have the opportunity to act frivolously and those factors high the chances of democide due to the fact that they can cause deaths without any consequences. Where Elites don't own mass media and are considered responsible for every action they are constantly observed. In this given situation Elites have lower the chances of democide due to the fact that they are under constant observation and held responsible for their crimes.

During a state of war, the acts of democide and genocide going into an cruel and horrible forms. Certainly the power can kill, yet absolute power eliminates in absolute forms. In all cases of democide and genocide the two parties of the Elites and of the submitted have an obscurant conflict.

The authority's goal to destroy massive revolutions or partisan conflicts most probably leads to democide. In most cases the true source and contributor to the problem is the authorities. In a strict and totalitarian regime there are bigger probabilities of brutal responses to conflicts and revolutions and their according

reaction gradually also evolves to brutality depending on the extent of the stability of the totalitarian regime. The more the bloodshed during the revolts and the wars, the more the regime apply democide.

THE OBSCURANTISM

Wars mirror the complex specter of a person's craziness which evolves into obscurantism no matter if they are smart or not.

Whole nations are manipulated and zombies-out to the point of craziness where they are used as tools in the wars and to kill other compatriots and lead to mass blood-sheds. By following hierarchy's path upwards, we can observe that this sense of insanity gets substituted by obscurantism. The actual Elites are those who hold the power and sacrifice the lives of innocent people in favor to their ambitions are the most obscurant in the system. This does not exclude the possibility that some Elites may also be insane, not only obscurant.

According to researchers, the level of human development alternates the ratio of the people's victims during a war. At the beginning of the 20th century, it was estimated 90% of the people dead were soldiers in active military service, while, by end of the same century it was estimated that 80% of the war victims were civilians, and only 20% militaries.

This malicious trend is still valid in today's military actions (considering the last few decades) and will probably remain unchanged for the most part of the 21st century.

War's statistics (the deaths, the destructed infrastructure, limitations etc.) is in direct collision with the statements of the aggressors claiming that the war is led in the name of the nation and its people, that ban the totalitarian power, that they bring democracy, fight for equality, are looking for justice, and similar propagandist themes.

Wars, besides bringing deaths, limitations and detriment also bring along the domination of Elite's power in the most obscurant and unhuman way possible.

THE DIVISION

Many researchers divide the world into two axis which are of culture and of riches. They state that the main collision is the one between the wealthy North and the poor South. On the other hand, in the cultural prospect the main division is the one between the materialistic West and the idealistic East.

World wars go against this formulated theoretical division. Both World wars were not led between the North and the South, nor between the East and the West. The World wars are led for global power (domination) and world supremacy.

Contemporary theories regarding “West values” and “Civilization confrontation” are simply a key points of the media and scientific battle field that goal to camouflage the Global imperial aggression of the West (Globalism).

It is logical that most likely those outside of the Western communities will disagree and reject these theories.

The political and cultural rebuff are the last defensive border of the suppressed and dominated peoples.

Nowadays war affects everyone no matter of the variations that it may come with. Sometime back it was common to consider that a war could be led between two countries or between two alliances. Nowadays there are wars between everyone, countries and individuals, who is considered to be too malicious to the dominators or is considered a threat to the interests of the powerful.

Wars are always conducted with the aspiration to obtain more power and supremacy on the opponent. No matter whether the Dominant Matrices of the Elites are, evident or hidden, their pure intention is to impose supremacy of their authors.

The quest towards supremacy in human history has always been a premise for the evolution and devolution but the truth is that the world is divided into two parts Dominators and Dominated!

THE HYPOCRISY

History is written by the winners. In historical chronicles or analysis could be found a common pattern which consists in justifying the means of those who have succeeded and vigorously condemning the ones who lost.

For instance, the Holocaust and the concentration camps are most commonly depicted as the cruelest event of the 20th century while nobody talks negatively or rarely mentions, with some tone of justification, what happened in Hiroshima and Nagasaki.

Regarding the historical manipulations Sol•enicyn states the following idea "It appears that every victory has been accompanied by many squiggles regarding the old regime and with the distort version of the course of the events. As victory is irreversible, these lies, and squiggles remain in the history as facts for centuries."

The term sounds so ridiculous - peacekeeping military operations (Peacemaking wars).

Wasn't there a sort of peace before the war itself? The truth is that this state of peace didn't suit the elites and the war started. After it is over the new peace is imposed. (Pay close attention to the words "new peace"!)

The "new" peace is defined by the winners of the conflict. As long as the latter control the material (the resources and goods) and the moral (the intellectual) factors on a certain territory there will always be imposed their peace. (Pay close attention to the words "imposed" and "peace"!)

Peace in a sociocultural system Power-Subjects (Dominators-Dominated) is always based on pre-formulated scheme made by the usage of some Dominant Matrices idealized by the powers Elite.

As it was in the past, today the dominating alliance (the one which predominates over other countries) aims for universalism. Universal countries in past eras were empires.

Nowadays the ruling power in the Western civilization isn't a formed empire it resembles more a complex imperial alliance from confederations, partners, international organizations and regimes but it still remains a tool to dominate the rest of the

world.

A brief look at some examples shows us the consequences of western hypocrisy and the destruction of whole countries, creating waves of refugees.

The western political Elites, which for years enriched themselves thanks to the totalitarian and political regimes in the Near East and North America, decided to take them everything away. They supported, created, planned, initiated, financed and maintained all sorts of gentle revolutions, brutal aggressions, bloody sweeps and revolts, in order to remove the inconvenient regimes.

The wars in Iraq, Libya, Syria, etc., proclaimed and promoted as actions for democratization and the defense of democracy, were in fact entirely in the interests of western oligarchies that acted in concert to impose Globalism on these countries.

THE GREED

Ownership, from earliest antiquity, has been a determinant of human relationships. Today's concept of property was formulated in the 17th century. The most important characteristic of private property is the right to exclude others from the use or fruits of something.

Another type of property is public property, where everyone has the right not to be excluded. Both types of property form the property rights that people have in society.

Any vaulting of wealth (money and valuables) implies a withdrawal of resources and achievements from public circulation. The tresored wealth then serves only to satisfy the egos, the greed and interests of the rich.

This is also the case with large estates, airplanes, yachts, etc. These huge and expensive properties are withdrawn from public circulation to serve only the rich and their families.

The means of production (factories, plants, etc.) have their positive role and social utility as well, but at their very core they are the actual instrument by which people are enslaved economically and make the money of the rich.

Natural resources have also long been harnessed by the rich to feed their industries (raw materials for production). It is appalling that lately more and more often even water and other vital resources are falling into the hands of the rich.

The opportunity to live in affluence is the privilege of a very small fraction of humanity. This humans does not at all accept any ideas of equitable distribution of wealth, goods, and resources.

Major natural resources have been shared among great powers since the era of industrial societies. Their brutal exploitation is a source of growing inequality, constant tension, hatred, intolerance, opposition, confrontation, and conflict between the rich and the poor countries.

According to scientific data, the inequality ratio for the world exceeds 1 to 150. The rich part of humanity (Developed countries) amounting to about 20% own 82.7% of the world GDP. The growth of this wealth is 2.7 times that of the Underdeveloped countries. The latter, despite having 80% of the Earth's population, accounts for only about 4% of the total annual world expenditure on research, technological and economic development.

Today, 1.3 billion people live on \$30 per month and the Third World loses about \$500 billion a year due to the exploitative policies of rich, economically and technologically developed countries.

At the same time, the deepening of inequality is also observed in the rich countries themselves. For example, in the USA, 1/3 of the population owns 95% of the national wealth, the other 1/3 owns about 4% of it, and the last 1/3 has only 1% of the wealth.

It will sound twisted, but a domestic cat in the USA today consumes twice as much meat as an ordinary human in Africa.

Overall, the ratio of living standards between rich and poor countries is 13 to 1 and continues to rise rapidly. The same ratio between the US and Africa is 40 to 1.

Power, both in domestically and internationally plan, has always sought to preserve and multiply the wealth of the rich at the expense of the poor!

THE EVOLUTION

The Evolution of War traces the development of mass human extermination.

In the scientific field, the evolution of war is seen as the development of warfare in its integrated complex of mental and material means.

According to the researchers, military conflicts depend on the risks and threats to national and international security, the interests of the enemy countries, the achievements (development) of science and technology and their implementation in the military field, as well as changes in strategic and doctrinal ideas.

In our view, however, the most significant changes in the evolution of war are not new doctrines, strategies, tactics, and military technologies, but the new distribution of power and power factors into formal and real.

In ancient times the power was only real, it was in the origins of the wars, and the victorious power conquered the territory and grabbed the lion's share of the spoils.

In our "Democratic" times power is formal (official) and reality (hidden).

Guess for yourself - Which of these authorities is behind the wars and which one takes the lion's share of the spoils?

Now a look (very briefly) at the development of military mental and material means.

Currently, researchers basically view wars according to three determining factors:

- cause-effectual;
- structure-organizational;
- military-technical.

According to the military-technical factors, wars are divided into several generations.

First generation wars mainly used physical force and mechanical weapons. They date back to the epochs of slavery and feudalism.

With the invention and development of smoothbore firearms,

new forms and methods of warfare emerged that were part of the second generation.

With the invention of the rifles and the artillery, new forms and methods of leading wars were invented - third generation.

Later, the evolution of science and technology led to the armament of automatic weapons, tanks, combat aircraft, missiles, etc. The subsequent development of new vehicles and systems of communication, navigation and control has greatly changed modern warfare, which is now of the fourth generation.

In the last 76 years, the world has also been threatened by a fatal (apocalyptic) fifth generation nuclear war.

We are now seeing a transition to the sixth generation of warfare, which has the capability to conduct non-contact military operations without conventional forces. This is the most advanced concept of military conflict - "Hybrid warfare". This concept combines the capabilities of conventional warfare, small warfare, cyber warfare, and psychological operations.

Military experts believe that there are 4 main factors (on which development is focused) determining the superiority of weaponry - striking power, range, accuracy and speed.

Undoubtedly, every new important discovery is rapidly entering the arsenal of war. Thus, from stones and wood one moves on to atomic and quantum weapons, and from simple dueling one moves on to hybrid warfare.

However, the development of the mental and material means of war does not determine the wars themselves. In any high-tech modern warfare, if necessary, combat can be reduced to fighting with stones and wood, and hybrid strategies give way to simple powers combat. That is to say that the type of war we wage is determined by actual circumstances and capabilities, and the war itself is determined by the Dominant Matrices of the Elites.

The old understanding of war (in general) includes - conceiving, planning, financing, preparing, mobilizing, declaring of war, series of battles, direct clashes with the enemy's core, defeating him, suppression of resistance and military conquest of the territory.

The new understanding of war is expressed in a continuous

discretization, disorganization and disruption of the enemy's systems and infrastructures, materially and moral subversion, division and separatism, support of vassal opposition and indirect seizure of power without military actions. This new vision of war is necessitated by the needs of accelerated technological and economic development of society, and global monetarist interests.

A nuclear World war would end most quickly, catastrophic and even apocalyptic, a high-tech world war could not have been fully deployed because of the lack of capacity and coverage (the huge number of high-tech combat units, systems and infrastructure are not at all available).

Military experts argue that in a full-scale military clash between states with high-tech military equipment will very quickly see its mutual elimination, and the production of new ones will be too slow and unacceptably expensive.

In this light, future armed conflicts will be undoubtedly increasingly complex and will encompass ever more dimensions of the combat and public space, cosmic space, air space, land, sea, economy, technology, cyberspace, media, etc. Conflicts will be primarily hybrid with increasing complexity, variety, scope, and scale.

Simultaneously, military operations will take place, intelligence operations, special operations, rescue operations, psychological operations, humanitarian operations, as well as commercial, financial, recovery, etc. operations.

Small belligerent forces (state or non-state) will use increasingly more intelligent and unconventional methods and systems against the major powers of alliances, coalitions and states. They will use asymmetric, network and hybrid strategies, and will apply all sorts of new technologies with increasingly more precise and complex resources.

Warring parties will also be able to use mercenary (proxy) forces (including, corporate, terrorist, criminal, etc.) to create tension, turmoil, threats, terror, and to block the access to energy, resources, markets, infrastructures, infosystems, etc.

Political, diplomatic, monetary, and economic levers to suppress and undermine the adversary, will become more urgent

for major powers.

New technologies will be difficult to detect (prevent) and will hinder major states, coalitions and alliances from adapting adequately to increasingly innovative disruptive actions.

Nongovernmental organizations, large corporations and even individuals with great power may use operational systems and expensive military technologies that are in the arsenal of states themselves.

THE GLOBAL WAR

After thousands of years of sprawling wars from internecine, intertribal, through interstate and inter-allied, finally reaching large-scale regional ones, continental and global wars.

World Wars, however, have been fought only in terms of capitalism! It is only under this social system that war becomes total and global, and this human disaster becomes a world magnitude.

During the World Wars died:

- in the First World War - 18 million people;
- in the Second World War - 75 million people.

During the post-war peace (the Cold War) in regional, local, zonal and targeted armed conflicts more than 40 million people died.

The main factors of global instability today are not just the disruption of the balance of world power (military, political, economic, monetary, technological, information, etc. at local, regional and global level), but also radical nationalism, the growing inequality, the growing confrontation between the rich and the poor, the intense competition for access to natural resources, the demographic problems, the environmental problems, the climate problems and the associated mass migrations and displacements.

It is characteristic of Globalization and Globalism that local violence and conflict can very quickly escalate and take on large proportions, also can the large-scale conflicts change multiple local events.

This makes the world much more complex, interconnected,

Interdependent, complex, conflict, aggressive, unstable and violent.

THE PERMANENT WAR

According to K. Clausewitz, the disarmament of the enemy is the commander's main task.

If we look today at how the so-called Great Powers are constantly striving to disarm and prevent certain countries to arm themselves with strategic weapons, it becomes clear that they are in fact in a preventive war against them, which has not yet entered its actual (conventional) phase.

On the other hand, in international law the focus is shifting away from the principle of "National sovereignty" (state security), to a new principle of "People sovereignty" (security of citizens). This change aims to abolish almost all restrictions on intervention (including military) of international organizations, alliances, coalitions, or major states in the internal affairs of other countries (without a declaration of war). This is especially true if the former have been previously declared and stigmatized as "risky" and "terrorist".

This ambiguity in international law regarding of sovereignty, creates a very unstable basis with frequent tensions and conditions for confrontation and conflicts.

Internationally, we see many cases of embargoes, sanctions, restrictions, etc., imposed by the dominant towards the large number of dominated countries. This is a chillier phase of a real permanent war.

Finally, we will inevitably come to trade and diplomatic wars. The latter never abate completely. Such subversive wars are often seen even between the best of allies.

The very nature of the so-called Free market (Liberalism) implies above all freedom of greed and antagonism, leading to constant tensions, confrontations, conflicts, and to a state of permanent war.

The new doctrine of the Elites is kill quietly and gradually economically, monetarily, mentally and spiritually, but keep Objects. In the new information age, violence in the developed so-

cieties (the core) is primarily monetary, economic, technological, and informational, which is increasingly transferred to developing countries (the periphery).

THE FALSE GLOBAL PEACE

In the period from 1945 to 1990 there were over 160 wars or armed conflicts in which were killed more than 7.2 million soldiers and over 33 million civilians. For this period of over 2,300 weeks, there were only 3 weeks without war in the world...

Today's Global Peace is clearly false, although there has been no World war for a long time.

Eloquent is the data on the victims, the damages, the destructions, limitations, etc. of the innumerable peacekeeping and peace enforcement operations, the multitude of military conflicts, local wars, regional wars, democratic wars (the export of democracy), etc.

At the same time, the military industries of the period marked steady growth. The latter is a clear indicator of the militarization of conflicts. Military corporations with certainty detest and hate peace and tranquility, and wars and armed conflicts are their nutrient environment.

History shows us that almost continuously the Western countries have fought each other for supremacy. The present peace between European countries is more akin to the exception rather than the historical rule.

The alliances and integration of the West have been conditioned by its expansion and aggression (as a community) towards the rest of the world and the systematic disintegration of the latter. (Look* the book "Democratic Matrices.")

POWER AND LAW

Principally, the powerful is never strong enough to be a lasting master if he fails to turn his strength into his right, and the subordination of the subjects into their duty.

Hence have the idea of the right of the strong. It has been called a right, but it is in fact a basic principle of power.

From the moment we perceive that the core of power rules rights, any new form of power that overcomes the previous one, inherits its right to create and abolish rights. So, it is on domestically plan, and so it is on an international scale.

For example, since antiquity from the power and nature of war was extracted an explanation for the origin of the so-called right of enslavement. According to the thinkers, the overcomer had every right to kill the defeated, and the latter could ransom his life by the loss of his freedom. In a way, in enslavement there was an agreement, and a perfectly legal one, because it was in the interest of both parties.

On the other hand, even in ancient times the fair sovereign captured everything in the enemy's state such as public property, but respected the peaceful people and their property. Thus, he respected fundamental rights, on which his own rights were built.

The end of war came with the subjugation or destruction of the enemy's state, but the right to its warriors to be killed only applied so as long as they were with weapons in hand. If the combatants laid down their weapons and surrendered, they ceased to be enemies and became mere men again, and no one had the right to take away their lives.

The declaration of a war is an act of warning, not so much to the enemy's authorities (the Elites) as to the people under attack. In this connection Rousseau writes: "The foreigner, be he a king, a private individual or a nation, who steals, kills or detains foreign subjects without having declared war, is not an enemy, he is a villain."

Even in time of war there must remain some minimum acceptance on general rules of thought and action of the enemies, as otherwise it could not be concluded any subsequent peace, and hostilities would become an exterminatory disaster.

FREEDOM AND CHOISE

An Eastern wisdom states that the rulers do not fight against freedom, but against the freedom of others. Another eastern wisdom states that, if you are forced to make a choice, you are

no longer free.

In the West they like to say that personal freedom ends where the freedom of others begins. Also, that our rights end where the rights of others are on the verge of being neglected.

In fact, the institution of rights is a refined power instrument for limiting human freedom and for the deprivation of rights. To be given the right to choose is not freedom.

Being free means not being forced to make choices.

The Almighty has given us freedom, and power takes it away in every possible way!

Politics and power are always connected with different forms of violence and restrictions (including deprivation) of rights and freedoms.

Kant says: "Morality cuts the knot that politics is incapable of untying." Still, morality in the West has long been demode, and many Western values are clear perversions. The latter are presented as rights and freedoms but there are in fact moral excesses of the minority (the perverts) over the majority (the normals).

SECURITY AND FORCE

Modern Western societies at their emergence and establishment have not been civilizing communities. The bloodiest wars in human history have been fought between them - for territories, resources, colonies, markets, for spheres of influence, etc. In this sense, it is the interests of the rich that are in the origin of the most destructive and bloody humans disasters.

One way to contain and control the destructive power of interests is to unite the rich countries around common goals. However, this is at the expense of the poor countries, as the combined interests of the rich are in collision with those of everyone else.

The mantra "Security through global integration" is false and serves Globalism and the Global Monetarists (the core, not the periphery).

It is accepted that security is the ability to prevent, deter and reflect enemies (external and internal) aggression.

Naturally, for this purpose, military capabilities and own capable armed forces are needed. If a society is insular, it expects insecurity and instability coming from outside. The Cold War was an example of closure, from which followed relative world peace (without World War). In this case, the accumulation of internal power in the Eastern block led to mutual deterrence, increased security and international stability.

If a society is too open it turns out that it externally generates insecurity and instability. The two World Wars prove that an assertive development (opening out) leads to increased international instability and insecurity.

The accumulation of expansive power increases international instability, leading to global insecurity.

A new stage in the understanding of security, by accumulating force, marks the emergence and development of nuclear and other Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD), as well as systems of long-range control and communication.

The question now arises-Will we not be the cause of our self-destruction (as a species) through the accumulation of increasingly more destructive force? In general, is it possible (from here henceforth) to increase security by accumulating vast arsenals of weapons of mass destruction? Certainly, the accumulation of WMD arsenals is not a global solution for achieving a truly lasting peace.

On the other hand, security today is increasingly becoming a special kind of expensive service that is only available to people and societies that can afford it. In the near future, only the rich will be able to have a high degree of safety. This trend further reinforces inequality and social division in society. Some researchers argue that this is a consequence of Globalization, but our view is that the reason is forcefully imposed Globalism.

The restriction of state sovereignty that is associated with Globalism is leading to a new kind of insecurity and violence.

On the other hand, Globalization creates new players in security spheres - political, religious, economic, cultural and other social groups, formations and movements. They may also use aggression through the means of terror and/or various other forms of violence to assert their interests, and this is already a

serious threat to many countries.

Clearly, conventional armed forces are not adequate for these new forms of aggression. To deter and reflect the latest security problems, highly developed intelligence is needed, new technologies, special structures, adequate system organization, etc., which are mostly non-force factors. The focus is increasingly on prevention and prophylaxis of unconventional aggression.

In this light, the Information space is turning into a new battlefield. But here the power approach often has the opposite effect. Information violence, corporate control of IT and excessive regulations lead to further radicalization and confrontation.

Our view is that the only sustainable solution in modern security is not force, but intellect.

What is needed is a mass conscious of the Mental Matrices and on this basis the implementation of a Global Mental Integration (the conscious unity of the diversity). Precisely because of the lack of these processes the Western Multicultural model has failed.

But the Elites are not likely to want people to be conscious any time soon.

SUPERIORITY AND INEQUALITY

At the heart of armed violence is the drive for superiority (material and spiritual) and the thirst for power over others.

The causes of most major wars are the drive of the power (the Elite) for material superiority, the greed, the inequality and the injustice.

Inequality creates the greatest tensions, opposition, confrontation, conflict, and collision between rich (developed) and poor (developing) countries.

The greed of the Elites leads to unlimited vaulting and accumulation of wealth, depriving from resources and achievements a significant part of the population and underpins the inequality (internal and external).

Aggravating inequality leads to even greater societal tension, confrontations, and conflicts. Global injustice leads to wide-

spread radicalization and Global insecurity. To justify this situation and to quell the tensions are often used various religions, ideologies, etc., but they often backfire.

The degree of human development in each era determines the most important power factors, the interests of the Elites and the spheres of superiority and inequality that are fought over.

Wars have been fought:

- for land and territories;
- for mines and other resources;
- for riches and achievements;
- for slaves and subject masses;
- for colonies;
- for markets;
- for spheres of influence;
- for world domination, etc.

In the information age, it has already come to wars for brains, the quest for technological and information superiority, and the new inequalities that they create. Of course, the reverse compatibility also applies, none of the above causes of wars disappears, only with development of humanity, new ones appear.

Some researchers accept that over the last five centuries the world has stratified into several Geo-Structural zones - center, middle and periphery, among which the inequality in the different spheres is steadily increasing. The growing inequality has a leading role in explaining power processes, not only in the relations between states, but also within them. The easiest way to understand the specific reasons for a war is by analyzing the ensuing peace treaty and the nature of the new peace.

Some researchers argue that the causes of wars are basically systemic. For example - the Market system with permanent competitive clashes and imbalances is a source of constant tensions, confrontations, and conflicts. This generates frequent collisions, leads to violence, but is quite legitimate because it is directly linked to the functioning and development of capitalism as a social system.

However, there have been wars from the earliest antiquity until now, in all societies.

Our view is that the basic cause is even more essential and as general as possible, namely, the very type of socio-cultural relations Power-Subordinate (Dominant-Dominated). It is precisely the struggle for power that underlies the wars (internal and external).

In this light, World wars are always fought for World supremacy (domination) and World power, for everything that is valuable, including YOU!

GLOBALIZATION AND GLOBALISM

There is a significant difference between Globalization as a natural social process and the political term Globalism.

Globalism is the aggressive policy of a state (or formation of states) that forms a tendency for creating conditions for its domination of the world. In the end it is the imposition of a universal model of economic, monetary, social, political, societal, and cultural life. This model, at some point in the past, may have proved its merits, but later maintains its necessary superiority by asserting itself with all the possible means, including the military.

It is only natural that the people of the world should oppose Globalism because they realize that it is a power process imposed on them from above and from abroad. At the same time, they positively perceive Globalization in all its colorfulness, as a harmonious unity of human diversity.

We hope you realize that Globalism is a power of universalism (centralism) and is inherently the opposite of free Globalization (decentralization), which is a natural social process.

Globalism, however, very successfully uses the natural desintegration processes resulting from the Globalization to impose and assert itself in the world. The old imperial motto "divide and conquer" in global terms is now a "global order at the expense of local chaos"...

Statecraft aims to manage population and territory, and the control of capital is a mean to consolidate the state and accumulate potential, including military potential. In the strategy of Globalism, the control over the capital is the main objective, and

the management of the population, the territory and the warfare are the main means of accumulating capital.

The development of capitalism (Monetarism) and Globalism has greatly accelerated since the end of the Cold War, and the U.S. gained a dominant influence throughout the world. Thus, they created the conditions for world domination of the ruling America's financial oligarchy and corporatocracy.

The American Global Deep (Parallel) State is the main driving force of important geopolitical processes over the past three decades and the imposition of Globalism in the world.

WHAT THE ELITES WANT?

The rapid accumulation of large capital was started centuries ago, thanks to the monopoly in trade of distant locations and large-scale financial transactions. Regulation, the balance of power and the commercialization of wars contributed to the unprecedented concentration of wealth and power in the hands of the oligarchy that ruled Italian city-states (Venice, Genoa, etc.).

Based on these models are subsequently built the capitalist economy and the New world.

The Netherlands adopted the Venetian model of monopolistic Capitalism.

Britain adopts the Genoese cosmopolitan Capitalism, plus the absolutism of the Spanish Empire.

And the US also follows the model of corporate capitalism, developed by the Dutch system.

The rapid development of modern capitalism would not be possible without several centuries of free slave labor in the period between the 16th and 19th centuries. Even today, according to UN it was estimated that there are still more than 20 million slaves worldwide, and their exploitation underpins labor-intensive economic processes, mainly in the peripheral zones of modern capitalism (areas such as Africa, Asia and Latin America).

Western elites today incessantly demand from the World and without even concealing it:

- Depopulation of the planet (the so-called demographic opti-

mization);

- A sustainable environment (at the expense of the dominated);

- Sustainable economy and market (monetarist type of development);

- Technological control over people (over finance, health, interests, relationships, location, etc.);

- Strategic arms limitation (control over Weapons of Mass Destruction);

- World Peace on their terms (Globalism - New World Order).

The great cynicism comes from the fact that it is the West that consumes over 80% of the world's resources and products. It literally consumes and devours our planet.

Also, the West is at the root of almost all worlds economic and financial crises.

The West also leads in controlling people in different spheres of their lives such as economically, monetary, health, socially, technologically, security, etc.

The West was at the root of both World wars and most of the wars and armed conflicts that followed.

Indeed, it is the demographically collapsing West that is the great threat to the ecological balance, economy and security of the entire planet, not the overpopulated poor world! The West has the biggest military budgets, continues to make wars, to initiate numerous local and regional armed conflicts, to push and impose all over the world its Globalism and globalists interests (Dominant Matrices).

According to A. A. Toffler. "Those technologically evolved and educated want to limit the access of who is undeveloped to the goods". By combining their aristocratic arrogance with the rising ethno-religious tensions from the Balkans to India, we can't expect anything positive in the coming decades.

All these aspirations for disunity are rooted precisely in the two- and three-layered structure of the societies formed after industrial revolutions.

In the coming years, this structure is likely to persist. The world will have First Wave states with a predominantly agrarian

economy, Second Wave with a typical industrial landscape and a Third Wave with highly developed technologies - and each will have its social elites, spheres of interest, development programs, crises, etc.

Today, almost everyone has heard of “money laundering” offshore, about Wall Street speculators and the variety of manipulations that they commit, and the thesis that the financial oligarchy, through the exchanges, sucks the money out of the world. Few, however, risk investigating or oppose this unjust situation.

Also, today it is America that is the core of the global capitalist system and its banks and corporations in the greatest drain on resources and exploit the resources of other countries.

Simply put, the developed rich countries have accumulated their wealth at the expense of the poor and have no intention to share it, but on the contrary their elites want to sustainably dominate other countries and to continue to enrich themselves.

GOOD AND BAD

The great Western political ideologies of the twentieth century are Marxism, Communism, Socialism, Social democracy, Christian democracy, Liberalism, Corporatism, Conservatism, Nationalism and Fascism.

In all of them, the underlying is socio-cultural Power-Subject matrix, i.e., is mainly about the ideologically motivated replacement of one power by another.

Today, the Western mainstream aggressively satanized Radical Islam but forgets what the Inquisition was like, what Crusades were, what Colonization was, what was Fascism like, what Neo-colonialism looked like, etc.

Islam is indeed a different civilization community whose peoples are convinced of the superiority of their religion, which is characteristic of all monotheistic religions, during the period of their establishment. (Look* the book “Dogmatic Matrices.”)

The threat to Islam today is no longer just the CIA, the Pentagon and the NATO, but comprehensively the entire West, whose population is manipulated and zombied into universality

and superiority of its culture. It believes that its dominant power gives it the exclusive right to aggressively and globally impose Western culture on the world.

The West has never given birth to a great religion. World religions are the creation of non-Western civilizations and often pre-date the emergence of Western civilizations.

Good and evil as the basis of our value system undoubtedly have an ancient religious basis not inherent in the West.

At the same time, the West today claims its universality and aggressively enforces its “values” everywhere (often perversions) to other countries.

You all know which countries have fought the World wars, where, how and for what.

Clearly, the priority for the West is material values, and moral values are just used as public camouflage.

According to Oxfam, the richest 42 billionaires have a combined wealth which is equal to that of the poorest 50% of humanity. There is inequality even among the billionaires. So, the top 10 super-rich own over \$745 billion in personal wealth. The next 10 rich on the list have only \$452 billion. Statistics shows that the 1% super rich own over 50% of the world’s wealth.

D. Rothkopf calls the superrich the “superclass” - people of the absolute top of the world pyramid, 94% of whom are men, mostly white and mostly from the United States and Europe.

P. Phillips includes in the “Giants” (the superrich) billionaires from 20 countries, with 117 (59%) in the USA; 22 (aggregated) from the UK, France, Germany and Switzerland; three each from Italy, Singapore, India, Austria and Australia; two each from Japan and Brazil; and only one from South Africa, the Netherlands, Zambia, Kuwait, Belgium, Canada, Mexico, Qatar and Colombia.

A series of social surveys have shown that less than 2% are the criminals, who violate over 98% of the people around the world.

The USA (which is supposedly the land of dreams and freedom) is today the country with the sharpest social inequality and the most prisons and prisoners in the world.

Western scholars often do not look for corresponding scien-

tific explanations for wars - causal, interests, etc. Instead, they form convenient theories and scientific formulations leading to moral justifications of the respective violence and military actions. Their goal is to find the necessary “extenuating circumstances” for the aggression and use them as a basis for a system of “mitigating war circumstances”.

This type of (un)scientific historical explanation always aims to excuse, justify and shift the blame for the war onto the object of aggression. The world is urged to accept and justify their aggression, to forgive and excuse “legitimate” violence.

Who are the good guys and the bad guys, you should decide for yourselves!

THE DEEP STATE

C. Amin explains in detail that World capitalism is ruled by oligopolies based in the countries of the capitalist center, which hold monopolies over the finance, technology, access to natural resources, global media, information networks and weapons of mass destruction.

Some other researchers, including himself, got to the conclusion that capitalist nuclei are essentially a form of “Deep State”, and their vassal regimes in the periphery are only local clones of them.

According to J. Perkins the three pillars of Global Corporatocracy (Globalism) is the international banks, the leading megacorporations, the allied and vassal governments.

According to him, today’s Elite dominating the world is like “a cohesive brotherhood of a small group of people, sharing common goals, whose members often and easily move between corporate and banking boards, and governmental positions”

The Global Power Elite (the super-rich) functions as the supra-governmental system using the network, of the international institutions - the World Bank (WB), the International Monetary Fund (IMF), NATO, the World Trade Organization (WTO), G-7, G-20, etc. The latter receive “directions”, “instructions”, “demands” and even “orders” for their decisions from the NGO power networks. “It can certainly be concluded that all the su-

per-rich know each other personally or mutually, in the general outlines of their positions of power,” says Phillips. They have in common an ideological identity and firmly believe that their way of aggressive living and limitless capital accumulation are beneficial to all humanity.

They regularly meet to discuss maximizing profit, to discuss policies to enlarge their influence over governments, the military, intelligence and other power structures, as well as their plans to block and eliminate resistance and social movements, as well as to halt civil hostilities and disturbances.

The 17 “Giants” super-rich keep pumping the system by unnatural growth, creating huge negative economic and humanitarian consequences for billions of people in the world. They are the financial core of thousands of companies and banks, shaping Global Capitalism (Global Monetarism).

Their interests are embraced and recognized by governments, politicians, universities, police forces, special services, military and other institutions.

The latter quite openly assist them in every way, support and defend them.

Also, the Giants are the main initiators and beneficiaries of privatization all over the world. The aim is even public institutions (energy systems, water systems, railways, ways system, infosystems, medias, schools, post offices, universities, national defense, security forces, prisons and even churches) to become private enterprises so that corporate interests can totally dominate.

Even though they are in some competition with each other, the concentrated super-wealth (which the wealthy control, share and divide), essentially requires understanding, integration and cooperation.

Realizing that their interests are identical, the owners of the Giants are pooling their interests and their potential against the rest of the world in every possible way.

It is accepted that the term “Parallel State” was formulated by Robert Paxton. Presenting his idea in “Anatomy of Fascism”, he gives examples of the Italian Black Guards, German storm troopers, etc.

In the past few years, a similar sense has been imbued in other terms such as “Deep State”, “Shadow Government”, “Secret State”, “Secret Power”, “Hidden Power”, etc. These are usually understood as informal structures, alliances or communities that operate independently of the state administration (formal authority) and covertly take monopoly on power as well.

Here is what it says about the independence of government the President of the United States in 1913-1921 Woodrow Wilson, under which The Federal Reserve of the United States is established by private individuals by Federal Reserve Act:

“Our credit system is concentrated in private hands. We have become one of the most completely controlled and subordinate governments in the world - there is no longer a government with free opinion, no more government by persuasion and majority voting, but there is a government with opinion and coercion of small groups of dominant people.”

Today almost every country has a Deep State (DS), which holds the real power. Occasional exceptions are some absolute monarchs and dictators, but even they have their secret advisors.

For centuries, the Deep State has determined to a large extent, not only domestic but also the foreign policies in different countries.

Since ancient times, secret diplomacy has decided the most serious international, inter-allied and regional issues and problems. The development of the Deep State (Hidden Power) has not as much to do with its nature as with its scale.

In today's Global world, the Deep State is already Global. DS of dominated countries are highly dependent on the Global Deep State. Some researchers call this the World Government, others the World Order, etc.

In this light, it is quite natural that wars and armed conflicts are also highly dependent on The Deep State (the real power). If among the leading factors in these Deep Power layers there is understanding, there is also relative peace between the states.

If tensions rise there, so does that between the states concerned. If the DSs start confrontation and conflicts among

themselves, they also start the same acts between states.

If the DSs decide to wage war, the formal authorities and states have a very difficult time containing and undoing this human disaster.

THE NEW WORLD ORDER

Integration and disintegration, stabilization and destabilization in the world have existed ever since beginning their societal structures. Usually, destabilization is followed by a new (often different) stabilization, and after disintegration there is a new sort (different) of integration.

Gradually, in Europe and around the world, international institutions are taking over more and more important functions carried out formerly by states. This leads to the emergence of powerful international administrations that exercise power over states and their citizens, ostensibly for the benefit of the latter.

On a global scale, individual states are forced to give up certain functions of power, transferring them to regional and global political structures.

On the other hand (domestically), states are forced to delegate more and more powers to local authorities. In many countries (including in the Developed world) today there are strong local movements fighting for their autonomy or independence.

Alongside this, the governments of most countries (formal authorities) have largely lost control over the flow of money in and out of their countries, and it is increasingly difficult to control the flows of goods, technologies, ideologies, information and people.

In short, the Monetarist globalization of the world (Globalism) and the imposition of Dominant Matrices is an aggressive process taking place in both the World Wars as well as during the post-war peacetime.

Behind the Dominant Matrix for a Sustainable (Enduring) World Peace lies the idea of Globalism and a New World Order (NWO).

As we have already made clear, in a Power-Subject type system (Dominant-Dominated), any establishment of peace is

simply the imposition of the power of the new rulers.

In this context, the champions of this Lasting World Peace (New World Order) actually aim to impose sustainable their power over the entire planet and all people.

The New World Order has been written and spoken about (the mentioned process is reality nowadays) by many eminent politicians, ministers, Presidents and Prime Ministers of the West, including many Cardinals and even a few Popes.

Apparently, the NWO in question is a very real process for the establishment of global domination and world power of the West over the planet.

Despite the NWO project of the Western Elites and the aggressive form of Globalism which they propone, nation states still remain the main actors on the world stage. As in the past, their behavior is determined by their interests and the quest for supremacy, power, development, wealth, and so on. However, in the context of Globalization, their actions are increasingly dependent on their cultures (which is what connects them to other countries and what draws the line in between them).

The most common global division, which appears (in scholarly works and publications) under various names, is between rich (modern and developed) and poor (traditional and underdeveloped) countries. The very fact that Developed countries are grouping into different structures and formations to determine the future development of the world proves the existence of the established Dominant-Dominated system which influences international relations.

In cultural and historical (socio-cultural) terms, there are great differences between the West and the East, whereby the focus is not on the differences in the economics, but on those in philosophical, cultural and ideological terms, the meaning and way of life, etc. Briefly summarized - in the East we have a leading societal principle and idealisms, where on the West - individualisms and materialism.

Today there are basically two worlds the West (the Developed states) is dominant, and all other countries (Developing states), more or less dominated. The world today is divided not so much between rich and poor, and idealistic and materialistic

countries, but by the power (dominance) principle.

The dominant world (the Western elite) in every way stops and retards the development of the dominated countries in order to secure and catalyze its further superiority. Quite naturally, from this unjust situation arise societal tensions and, on this basis, forming the unification of the dominated countries in their struggle for freedom and independence.

The most powerful, the richest and the most active of the dominated countries today are already uniting against the West not so much on aspects such as military, civilizational, national, ethnic, religious, cultural, ideological, economic, financial, etc., but rather on the idea of freedom and independence of their own development and of justice in international relations.

The peace imposed after the First and Second World Wars proves that they were fought for world domination. War can begin on different occasions and for different reasons, but a World War is always fought for World Domination (power).

Today the world is far from anarchist, although it teems with national, tribal, ethnic, religious, etc. conflicts which might seem to be complicated as a statement.

The greatest threat to world security is not such "anarchist" conflicts and collisions, but the confrontation between the factors of the World Order and their struggle for World domination.

The West dominates the world not through superiority of philosophical ideas, value system or supreme religion (the religion of the West comes from the East), but because of the technological and monetary superiority for the purpose to implement systematic multidirectional violence and control over dominated societies. The majority of the people from the West ignore and even forget these facts, but in the Western-dominated countries this could never be forgotten.

We are also witnessing a Global information war to enforce the NWO.

The essence of this war is to limit the use of reason (of the subjects) in reality and to peacefully impose upon them the will of dominators, by amending the normal (natural) possibilities of sensation, perception, cognition and awareness.

Access to information today is placed under increased con-

control by wealthy states, organizations and individuals who acquire a new form of informed geostrategy superiority.

The enormous publicity, the unlimited circulation, the vastness of memory in time and space and the virtualization typical of today's global informational systems, are the most powerful modern tools for global manipulation and influence on humanity.

Today, an invisible background process is taking place to establish Total Global Digital Control over all aspects of Information Space (Cyberspace).

This is achieved by asserting a monopoly on technologies for traffic, processing and access to signals, data, knowledge and even culture.

All this is essentially a global information war to establish a New World Order.

All in all, the so-called New World Order is a power globalization (Globalism) taking place in all spheres and in all possible ways.

In its essence, Globalism is a world centralized power based on local power decentralization. The old imperial maxim "divide and rule" is complemented by "manipulate and control". Via new "values" and ideologies, families, clans, peoples, nations, and countries are discretized and disintegrated.

Behind the NWO is not a world democracy, but a project for world dictatorship of a handful of super-rich perverted individuals, craving world power.

According to Ch. Mills in the period 1975-1990 a large number of monopolies and oligopolies of the rich triad (the USA, the EU and the Japan), gained control of almost all productive and financial assets in their own countries and throughout the world.

Separately, only in the last twenty years more than 100 billion dollars have been invested by the rich in over 80 countries to buy up more than 80 million acres agricultural land. The result has been overwhelmingly corporate agriculture, mainly for export, depriving local societies from a source of livelihood.

P. Phillips, in his 2018 study, updated and confirms Mills' hypotheses. In this work are revealed 17 global financial conglomerates (about 390 individuals), collectively holding over 41 trillion dollars investment capital, having their own non-govern-

mental formations and spheres of influence almost worldwide.

This transnational corporate core, managing global financial and economic systems, is united in its support for the U.S. military-monetary empire and its NATO vassals. This exploitative status quo propagates and wages Global war against resistance groups around the world, calling them “terrorists”, “anarchists”, “separatists”, etc.

The real objectives of this Global war are the imposition and the maintenance of World Globalization of Power (Globalism), the uncontrolled financial flow around the world, dollar monetarism, cheap access to natural resources and the exploitation of the people by Transnational Corporations and International Banks.

Wars have always been about power. There are always at least two belligerents and two lines of events. One is the public line propagated by the media, which people then assume to be the real. The other line of events does not become public. This is the world of secrecy and secret societies in which interacted power, politics, capital, economics, ideologies, religions, etc.

Zh. Babel finds that over the last 5600 years humanity has fought approximately 14500 wars with numbers going up to 3,5 billion victims. These victims are as many as half the population of the Earth...

Power has always been associated with violence, bloodshed and death, and the struggle for power is at the root of all wars!

In this light, the struggle for world power goes hand in hand with global militarism and global violence.

CONCLUSION

Today's World Order (world power) is costing the multi-million casualties and destruction of both world and subsequent wars, plus those of the multitude of other armed conflicts.

The struggle for power, both domestically and internationally, is associated with societal tensions, confrontation, and conflict. When these often escalate, aggravate into acts of violence, aggression, armed clashes, bloody collisions and wars leading to many victims, damage, destruction, restrictions, etc. All Domi-

nant Matrices (secret or evident) which the Elites make use of, cannot justify the bloodshed, destruction, and the other brutal consequences.

War is the most vicious human disaster, and peace is simply the established power of the victorious Elites. History shows us that in any sociocultural system of the type Power-Subject (Dominant-Dominated) struggle for power always leads to the War-Peace-War cycle. The quest for supremacy and the thirst for power fuel the wars, and the ensuing peace fuels self-centeredness and greed of the Elites over the subjugated (dominated).

Only if humanity with time becomes massively aware of the powerful nature of Globalism, the illusion of formal (official) power in the face of the Rule of Law, the obscurantism of real (hidden) power in the face of the Deep State, the inequality and injustice as a major systemic problem of power abuse, it will have the chance to prevent new millions (and perhaps billions) of victims to be offered at the altar of World Power by a handful of perverted World Powerbrokers.

P.S. By “the West” we intend not a geographical concept, but the various formations and alliances of Developed countries and their satellites that dominate the rest of the world.

LITERATURE

- “Изкуството на войната”, Сун Дзъ
“За войната”, К. Клаузевиц
“Сблъсъкът на цивилизациите и преобразуването на световния ред”, С. Хънтингтън
“Война и антивоина”, Е. Тофлър
“Третата вълна”. Е. Тофлър
“Войната”, Г. Дайър
“Психология на войната”, Л. Лешан
“Философия на сигурността”, С. Мичев
“Информационна война”, Цв. Семерджиев
“Генетичната програма”, Цв. Семерджиев
“Еволюция на концепциите за водене на война”, Сп. Наука, П. Димов
“Военните конфликти в бъдеще”, Сп. Наука и технологии, П. Димитров
“STATISTICS OF DEMOCIDE: Genocide and Mass Murder Since 1900”, R. J. Rummel
“Cyber warfare”, J. Carr
“The Evolution of the Laws of War”, Sh. Jumper
“Recent Trends in Thinking about Warfare”, A. Nofi
“The Evolution of Human Warfare”, G. Pitman
“Что такое война ?”, Д. Лангевише
“Война будущего”, И. Попов, М. Хамзатов
“Войните на Англия”, Ф. Грал
“Военните операции на САЩ”, З. Гросман
“ТЕОРИЯ НА МЕЖДУНАРОДНИТЕ ОТНОШЕНИЯ”, Г. Стефанов
“Дълбоката държава”, Й. Начев
“ЕС, България и конфликтите на 21 век”, Сборник статии, БИСПУР
“Паралелните държави в капиталистическия свят”, В. Павлова
“История на тероризма”, Н. Христова

<http://www.oxfam.org>

<http://www.hawaii.edu/powerkills/20TH.HTM>

*

<http://sfera.zonebg.com/knigi.htm>

<http://sfera.zonebg.com/pubs.htm>



